

These notes indicate the decisions taken at this meeting and the officers responsible for taking the agreed action. For background documentation please refer to the agenda and supporting papers available on the Council's web site (www.oxfordshire.gov.uk.)

The decisions take effect at the time and date specified, unless before that time written notice is given in accordance with the Council's Scrutiny Procedure Rules requiring the decision to be called in for review by the relevant Scrutiny Committee.

If you have a query please contact Colm Ó Caomhánaigh (Tel: 07393 001096; E-Mail: colm.ocaomhanaigh@oxfordshire.gov.uk)

CABINET - TUESDAY, 18 AUGUST 2020

<i>List published 19 August 2020 Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 26 August 2020</i>		
<i>RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED</i>	<i>DECISIONS</i>	<i>ACTION</i>
1. Apologies for Absence	None	CDCDAI (A. Newman)
2. Declarations of Interest	None	CDCDAI (A. Newman)
3. Minutes To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 21 July 2020 (CA3) and to receive information arising from them.	Agreed	CDCDAI (C. Ó Caomhánaigh)
4. Questions from County Councillors Any county councillor may, by giving notice to the Proper Officer by 9 am two working days before the meeting, ask a question on any matter in respect of the Cabinet's delegated powers. The number of questions which may be asked by any councillor at any one meeting is limited to two (or one question with notice and a supplementary question at the meeting) and the time for questions will be limited to 30 minutes in total. As with questions at Council, any questions which remain unanswered at the end of this item will receive a written response. Questions submitted prior to the agenda being despatched are shown below and will be the subject of a response from the	See attached annex	

...Decisions... Decisions...

CABINET - TUESDAY, 18 AUGUST 2020

<i>List published 19 August 2020</i> <i>Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 26 August 2020</i>		
<i>RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED</i>	<i>DECISIONS</i>	<i>ACTION</i>
<p>appropriate Cabinet Member or such other councillor or officer as is determined by the Cabinet Member, and shall not be the subject of further debate at this meeting. Questions received after the despatch of the agenda, but before the deadline, will be shown on the Schedule of Addenda circulated at the meeting, together with any written response which is available at that time.</p>		
<p>5. Petitions and Public Address</p>	<p>Item 6 – Councillor Liz Brighthouse Item 7 – Councillor Sobia Afridi Councillor Liz Brighthouse</p>	
<p>6. Business Management & Monitoring Report - Quarter 1 - April - June 2020</p> <p><i>Cabinet Member:</i> Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Finance <i>Forward Plan Ref:</i> 2020/063 <i>Contact:</i> Louise Tustian, Head of Insight & Corporate Programmes Tel: 07741 607452/Hannah Doney, Head of Corporate Finance Tel: 07584 174654</p> <p>This report sets out Oxfordshire County Council's (OCC's) progress towards Corporate Plan priorities at 30 June 2020. A summary of overall performance and description of change is contained within the report.</p> <p><i>Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to note the contents of the report.</i></p>	<p>Recommendations agreed</p>	<p>CDCOD (L. Tustian)</p>
<p>7. Revised Budget 2020/21</p> <p><i>Cabinet Member:</i> Finance <i>Forward Plan Ref:</i> 2020/114 <i>Contact:</i> Hannah Doney, Head of Corporate Finance Tel: 07584 174654</p> <p>Report by Director of Finance (CA7).</p>		

...Decisions... Decisions...

CABINET - TUESDAY, 18 AUGUST 2020

<p><i>List published 19 August 2020</i></p> <p><i>Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 26 August 2020</i></p>		
<i>RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED</i>	<i>DECISIONS</i>	<i>ACTION</i>
<p>On 21 July 2020 Cabinet agreed to ask officers to identify plans to address the potential overspend in 2020/21 arising from COVID-19 and report back to the next meeting with recommendations for action. This report sets out a proposal for a revisions to the budget for 2020/21 which is the outcome of that work. The revised budget will address the risk of overspend and reflects the additional costs incurred by the Council in the response phase to the COVID-19 pandemic and the additional funding that has been received from central government.</p> <p><i>The Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) approve the creation of the Council Tax Collection Fund Reserve</i> <i>The Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to RECOMMEND COUNCIL TO:</i></p> <p><i>(b) approve the savings set out in Annex 1</i> <i>(c) approve the revised revenue budget for 2020/21 set out in Annex 2</i></p>	<p>Recommendations agreed</p>	<p>DF (H. Doney)</p>
<p>8. Exempt Item</p> <p>Item 9</p> <p>In the event that any Member or Officer wishes to discuss the information set out in the Annex to Agenda Item 9, the Cabinet will be invited to resolve to exclude the public for the consideration of that Annex by passing a resolution in relation in the following terms:</p> <p><i>"that the public be excluded during the consideration of the Annex since it is likely that if they were present during that discussion there would be a disclosure of "exempt" information as described in Part I of Schedule 12A to</i></p>	<p>Agreed that there was no need to go into private session.</p>	

...Decisions... Decisions...

CABINET - TUESDAY, 18 AUGUST 2020

<p><i>List published 19 August 2020</i></p> <p><i>Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 26 August 2020</i></p>		
RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION
<p><i>the Local Government Act, 1972 and specified below the item in the Agenda".</i></p> <p>NOTE: The report does not contain exempt information and is available to the public.</p> <p>THE ANNEX TO THE ITEM NAMED HAS NOT BEEN MADE PUBLIC AND SHOULD BE REGARDED AS 'CONFIDENTIAL' BY MEMBERS AND OFFICERS ENTITLED TO RECEIVE THEM.</p> <p>Item 10</p> <p><i>It is RECOMMENDED that the public be excluded for the duration of item 10 (since it is likely that if they were present during that item there would be disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part I of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended) and specified below in relation to that item and since it is considered that, in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information on the grounds set out in that item.</i></p> <p>THE INFORMATION RELATING TO THE EXEMPT ITEM HAS NOT BEEN MADE PUBLIC AND SHOULD BE REGARDED AS STRICTLY PRIVATE TO MEMBERS AND OFFICERS ENTITLED TO RECEIVE THEM.</p> <p>THIS ALSO MEANS THAT THE CONTENTS OF THE ANNEX TO ITEM 10 AND THE INFORMATION AT ITEM 11 SHOULD NOT BE DISCUSSED WITH OTHERS AND NO COPIES SHOULD BE MADE.</p> <p>THIS IS FOR REASONS OF</p>		

...Decisions... Decisions...

CABINET - TUESDAY, 18 AUGUST 2020

<p><i>List published 19 August 2020</i></p> <p><i>Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 26 August 2020</i></p>		
RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION
<p>COMMERCIAL SENSITIVITY.</p> <p>THIS ALSO MEANS THAT THE CONTENTS SHOULD NOT BE DISCUSSED WITH OTHERS AND NO COPIES SHOULD BE MADE.</p>		
<p>9. Disposal of Development Sites to Oxford City Council</p> <p><i>Cabinet Member: Finance</i> <i>Forward Plan Ref: 2020/106</i> <i>Contact: Karen Lister, Head of Estates & Strategy Tel: 07875 441940/Steve Jordan, Corporate Director – Commercial Development, Assets and Investment Tel: 07881 588887</i></p> <p>Report by Corporate Director – Commercial Development, Assets and Investment (CA9).</p> <p><i>The information contained in the annex is exempt in that it falls within the following prescribed category:</i></p> <p>3. <i>Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information);</i></p> <p><i>and since it is considered that, in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information, in that a negotiation is ongoing and would prejudice the position of the authority in the process of that negotiation and the Council's standing generally in relation to such matters in future, to the detriment of the Council's ability properly to discharge its fiduciary and other duties as a public authority.</i></p>		

...Decisions... Decisions...

CABINET - TUESDAY, 18 AUGUST 2020

<p><i>List published 19 August 2020</i></p> <p><i>Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 26 August 2020</i></p>		
<i>RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED</i>	<i>DECISIONS</i>	<i>ACTION</i>
<p>The City Council are actively seeking new sites in order to deliver additional affordable housing to meet Growth Deal pipeline numbers. In addition, the City are seeking to bring forward their regeneration of Blackbird Leys. The County Council owns development sites in Oxford and also the Blackbird Leys Former Pool. Consequently, this has resulted in discussions between the two Councils on a subject to contract basis.</p> <p>The negotiations are now complete, and this report details the provisionally agreed terms of the transactions. The report seeks approval for the disposal of three sites to the City Council as a named purchaser.</p> <p><i>The Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to</i></p> <p><i>(a) Agree the principle of off-market sales to the City Council, as a named purchaser, of the following properties:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• Part of Northfield Hostel for delivery of 100% affordable housing</i> <i>• Former Depot Site at Lanham Way for delivery of 100% affordable housing</i> <i>• Former Blackbird Leys Swimming Pool for incorporation into the regeneration scheme</i> <p><i>(b) To declare the Former Blackbird Leys Swimming Pool surplus to</i></p>	<p>Recommendations agreed</p>	<p>CDCDAI (K. Lister)</p>

...Decisions... Decisions...

CABINET - TUESDAY, 18 AUGUST 2020

<p><i>List published 19 August 2020</i></p> <p><i>Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 26 August 2020</i></p>		
RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION
<p>requirements.</p> <p>(c) Delegate authority to the Director for Property, Investment and Facilities Management and the Director of Law and Governance to agree appropriate terms.</p>		
<p>10. Exempt Minutes</p> <p>To approve the exempt minutes of the meeting held on 21 July 2020 (CA11) and to receive information arising from them.</p> <p><i>The information contained in the minute is exempt in that it falls within the following prescribed category:</i></p> <p>3. <i>Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information);</i></p> <p><i>and since it is considered that, in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information, in that a negotiation is ongoing and would prejudice the position of the authority in the process of that negotiation and the Council's standing generally in relation to such matters in future, to the detriment of the Council's ability properly to discharge its fiduciary and other duties as a public authority.</i></p>	<p>Agreed</p>	<p>CDCDAI (S. Whitehead)</p>



Questions	Answers
<p>COUNCILLOR MARK CHERRY</p> <p>Will the Cabinet member for Highways Delivery and Operations Cllr Liam Walker be able to give a timescale for the relining work at the end of Boxhedge Road, Banbury.</p> <p>Highways Officers have informed me that the work will be done but cannot give me a timescale due to skanska contractors schedule lining work for active travel in Oxfordshire ie cycling lanes. I have had complaints from residents as cars have parked close to a pull through for neighbouring houses and Thames Valley police cannot enforce parking because the lines are faded there; a clear health and safety concern.</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIAM WALKER, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS DELIVERY AND OPERATIONS</p> <p>As the Activity Travel cycle markings are now complete, we have added the lining work (mentioned) to the programme of works. We are expecting the work to be carried out during 18/19 August.</p>
<p>COUNCILLOR JUDY ROBERTS</p> <p>It is very worrying that only £25k of the £200k budgeted for youth service development this year is now regarded as necessary expenditure, and that proposals agreed to unanimously by council at February's budget meeting are to be abandoned. During Covid our young people have suffered greatly with disruption to their education. Many of the most vulnerable young people with mental health issues have been unable to access services. We know that the impact of the 2008 recession hit young</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR STEVE HARROD, CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES</p> <p>The £200k allocated in this financial year's budget to develop an up to date assessment of what young people want and need from youth services, which was always considered a generous budget, has not been removed, just reduced to £25k. A specification for this work has been prepared within this new financial envelope under the following timeline, which has obviously been affected by the pandemic:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request for quotation issued: July 2020



Questions	Answers
<p>people the hardest economically and that 14-24 year olds need this council's support now more than ever. Given that this £200k budget was intended to develop a proposal for an Oxfordshire youth service to be included in the budget for 2021/2, how else do the administration plan to provide these desperately needed professional youth services?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Deadline for quotes: September 2020• Supplier appointed: October 2020 <p>The appointed consultants will be supported by officers in their on-going 'business as usual' assessment of provision. Furthermore, there is a lot of good existing information available about numbers and needs. There are strong 'umbrella' groups within the Voluntary sector that can provide insight on what is currently available and there is some great national work on what good youth provision would look like. The gap is probably around what young people want and therefore the need for consultation is significant as there is a lack of up to date information in this regard.</p> <p>We anticipate most of the consultation with young people will be conducted online because of the pandemic, which is less costly, and our expectation is that we will receive initial feedback before Christmas 2020, with the final report by March 2021. Whilst this detail will clearly not be available in time to incorporate it into the 2021/22 budget, we will endeavour to make some provision for it, but this will be within the constrained financial position for the council overall.</p> <p>Contrary to some speculation, this project has not been kicked into the long grass, but merely delayed by the impact of Covid-19.</p>
<p>COUNCILLOR RICHARD WEBBER</p> <p>Given that the Active Travel pots are very small for what they are trying to achieve, and the time for any consultation was short, it is hardly surprising that the</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT (INCLUDING TRANSPORT)</p> <p>As a result of COVID, It has been necessary to reprioritise our activities to ensure that the council is doing everything it can to enable our residents to travel safely as lockdown restrictions are eased. This</p>



Questions	Answers
<p>whole process has caused much consternation. Leaving aside that no clear explanation of what criteria were used to determine which projects should go ahead and how any criteria were applied, does the Cabinet accept that the whole exercise has taken up an inordinate amount of Highway officer time to the detriment and delaying many other projects?</p>	<p>includes the Active Travel programme, which comprises a wide range of initiatives and not just the Emergency Active Travel fund from the Department for Transport.</p> <p>Some lower priority activities have been paused or delayed, however we will still deliver all of our planned activities this year. I am not aware of any complaints we have received as a result of this reprioritisation, however please contact your area highways team if you have any concerns.</p>
<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN</p> <p>The proposed revisions to the 2020/21 budget includes the postponement of schemes such as the LCWIPS, which would help residents to reduce their carbon footprint by using their cars less, and improving their health walking and cycling more. Given the government's commitment to both reversing climate change and improving health by encouraging walking and cycling, would this Cabinet consider approaching the government to ask for support so that the LCWIPS can go ahead immediately rather than having to wait indefinitely?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT (INCLUDING TRANSPORT)</p> <p>LCWIPS are still going ahead with plans to develop more following the approval of the Plan for Oxford in March and the scheme for Bicester going to Cabinet next month. Implementation of agreed Plans is dependent on funding coming forward to put the schemes and measures in and we have already approached the Government with proposals for Tranche 2 of their Emergency Active Travel funding.</p>
<p>SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION</p> <p>If the Council does not receive the money it expects to get in Tranche 2 from the Government, what will happen with the LCWIPs? Will they be postponed? Because it seems as if we are very dependent on that money</p>	<p>We are most certainly dependent on the Government money for the schemes to go forward. In October last year, we submitted a bid to Government for £300m, which is the estimated cost to install just the LCWIPs around Oxford itself. We have gone on to develop bids for</p>



Questions	Answers												
<p>coming from the Government to make sure that they go forward.</p>	<p>LCWIPs around Bicester and Witney and work has started on an LCWIP for Didcot. This is in order to have properly planned schemes for when funding does become available.</p> <p>Currently the Tranche 2 bid has relied on the LCWIP work within Oxford City to get the most 'spade-ready' schemes because deliverability was such a test. If we do not get all of the funding, anything that does not meet a priority test, which will be based on the Benefit Cost Ratio, will simply wait for the next round of funding. This applies to all of the schemes that have been generated with this great interest in the Active Travel Fund - a maximum of £2.38m against £20m that we are spending on cycleways in Oxfordshire already.</p>												
<p>COUNCILLOR TIM BEARDER</p> <p>How many vacancies were advertised on the Oxfordshire County Council Job board between 1st April and 31st July in 2019 and 2020?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR JUDITH HEATHCOAT, DEPUTY LEADER OF THE COUNCIL</p> <p>The figures requested are as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="958 948 1702 1102"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>External</th> <th>Internal</th> <th>Grand Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2019</td> <td>253</td> <td>265</td> <td>518</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2020</td> <td>116</td> <td>124</td> <td>240</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>This takes into account any Advert that had a start or end date between these dates.</p> <p>External adverts may have also had an internal advert running concurrently. This would be counted twice in the grand total.”</p>		External	Internal	Grand Total	2019	253	265	518	2020	116	124	240
	External	Internal	Grand Total										
2019	253	265	518										
2020	116	124	240										